



龙星计划课程: 文件系统和分布式数据管理系统

Building File Systems and Distributed Data Management Systems for Performance and Reliability

Song Jiang

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Wayne State University



What is the course about?

- Learn how a data center is built to provide Internet-wide scalable and secure services.
 - We are in the big data era and most Internet services rely on large volume of data.
 - > The stack of a data center includes:
 - ✓ Computing platform (individual servers and their local file system)
 - ✓ Distributed system infrastructure, such as GFS, BigTable, MapReduce, and Pregel.
 - ✓ Services, such as search, advertising, email, maps, video, chat, blogger.
 - We will study how the distributed system infrastructure is built from bottom to the top.
- Get an insider's view with case studies
 - > Look at design and implementation of real-life systems, mostly Google's.
- Have a taste of what the research in the CS/CE area looks like
 - Cultivate your curiosity

What is the course not about?

- This is not a tutorial about Ext3/4/BtrFS, GFS/HDFS, BigTtable/Hbase.
- We do not cover comprehensively all aspects of a file system and distributed systems.
- We do not follow every details of specific systems.

Instead, this course will focus on understanding the issues, design choices, and problem solving skills.

Why you should take the course?

- Data center is the most critical IT infrastructure of the society.
- Our digital life depends on data centers,
 - > almost all Internet-based services, including cloud computing.
- The course will cover from fundamental system concepts to techniques enabling very-large-large systems.
 - Issues in a file/storage system: data replication and consistency, failure management, system reliability, scalability, availability, and efficiency.
- Research experience will go a long way for your career development.
 - Many people who program with Internet don't understand how things happen within a data center.
 - > Students would be inspired to keep learning and to contribute.

Course Outline

- 1. File Systems
 - □ Files and directories
 - □ File system implementation
 - □ FSCK and journaling
 - □ Log-structured file system (LFS)
 - □ Data integrity and protection

- 2. Distributed File Systems and Others
- 3. Key-Value Data Management Systems







龙星计划课程: 文件系统和分布式数据管理系统

Building File Systems and Distributed Data Management Systems for Performance and Reliability

Lecture 1: File Systems

File-System Abstraction

What is a File?

Array of bytes.

Ranges of bytes can be read/written.

File system consists of many files.

What is a File?

Array of bytes.

Ranges of bytes can be read/written.

File system consists of many files.

Files need names so programs can choose the right one.

File Names

Three types of names:

- inode
- path
- file descriptor

File Names

Three types of names:

- inode
- path
- file descriptor

Inodes

Each file has exactly one inode number.

Inodes are unique (at a given time) within a FS.

Different file system may use the same number, numbers may be recycled after deletes.

Inodes

Each file has exactly one inode number.

Inodes are unique (at a given time) within a FS.

Different file system may use the same number, numbers may be recycled after deletes.

Show inodes via stat.

What does "i" stand for?

"In truth, I don't know either. It was just a term that we started to use. 'Index' is my best guess, because of the slightly unusual file system structure that stored the access information of files as a flat array on the disk...."

~ Dennis Ritchie





read(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
write(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
seek(int inode, off_t offset)

read(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
write(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
seek(int inode, off_t offset)

note: seek does not cause disk seek unless followed by a read/write

read(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
write(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
seek(int inode, off_t offset)
Disadvantages?

read(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)

write(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)

seek(int inode, off_t offset)

Disadvantages?

- names hard to remember
- everybody has the same offset
- collisions (not hierarchical)

Disadvantages?

- names hard to remember
- everybody has the same offset
- collisions (not hierarchical)

File Names

Three types of names:

- inode
- path
- file descriptor

String names are friendlier than number names.

String names are friendlier than number names.

Store *path-to-inode* mappings in a predetermined "root" file (typically inode 2)







String names are friendlier than number names.

Store *path-to-inode* mappings in a predetermined "root" file (typically inode 2)

String names are friendlier than number names.

Store *path-to-inode* mappings in a predetermined "root" file (typically inode 2)

Generalize! Store path-to-inode mapping in many files. Call these special files directories.

inodes







inode number



















inode number














Paths

String names are friendlier than number names.

Store *path-to-inode* mappings in a predetermined "root" file (typically inode 2)

Generalize! Store path-to-inode mapping in many files. Call these special files directories.

Paths

String names are friendlier than number names.

Store *path-to-inode* mappings in a predetermined "root" file (typically inode 2)

Generalize! Store path-to-inode mapping in many files. Call these special files directories.

Reads for getting final inode called "traversal".

Directory Calls

mkdir: create new directory

readdir: read/parse directory entries

Why no writedir?

Special Directory Entries

Tylers-MacBook-Pro:scratch trh\$ ls -la total 728 drwxr-xr-x 34 trh staff 1156 Oct 19 11:41 . drwxr-xr-x+ 59 trh staff 2006 Oct 8 15:49 6148 Oct 19 11:42 .DS_Store -rw-r-r-@ 1 trh staff <u>-rw-r--r-- 1 trh</u> 553 Oct 2 14:29 asdf.txt staff -rw-r-r- 1 trh staff 553 Oct 2 14:05 asdf.txt~ drwxr-xr-x 4 trh staff 136 Jun 18 15:37 backup

File API (attempt 2)

File API (attempt 2)

Disadvantages?

File API (attempt 2)

Disadvantages? Expensive traversal! Goal: traverse once.

File Names

Three types of names:

- inode
- path
- file descriptor

File Descriptor (fd)

Idea: do traversal once, and store inode in descriptor object. Do reads/writes via descriptor. Also remember offset.

A file-descriptor table contains pointers to file descriptors.

The integers you're used to using for file I/O are indexes into this table.

FD Table (xv6)

```
struct file {
  struct inode *ip;
  uint off;
};
// Per-process state
struct proc {
  struct file *ofile[NOFILE]; // Open files
  }
```

int fd1 = open("file.txt"); // returns 3
read(fd1, buf, 12);
int fd2 = open("file.txt"); // returns 4
int fd3 = dup(fd2); // returns 5

int fd1 = open("file.txt"); // returns 3



int fd1 = open("file.txt"); // returns 3
read(fd1, buf, 12);



int fd1 = open("file.txt"); // returns 3
read(fd1, buf, 12);
int fd2 = open("file.txt"); // returns 4



int fd1 = open("file.txt"); // returns 3
read(fd1, buf, 12);
int fd2 = open("file.txt"); // returns 4
int fd3 = dup(fd2); // returns 5



File API (attempt 3)
int fd = open(char *path, int flag, mode_t mode)
read(int fd, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
write(int fd, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
close(int fd)

- hierarchical
- traverse once
- different offsets

There is no system call for deleting files!

There is no system call for deleting files!

Inode (and associated file) is garbage collected when there are no references (from paths or fds).

There is no system call for deleting files!

Inode (and associated file) is garbage collected when there are no references (from paths or fds).

Paths are deleted when: unlink() is called.

FDs are deleted when: ???

There is no system call for deleting files!

Inode (and associated file) is garbage collected when there are no references (from paths or fds).

Paths are deleted when: unlink() is called.

FDs are deleted when: close(), or process quits

Deleting Directories

Directories can also be unlinked with unlink(). But only if empty!

How does "rm -rf" work?

Let's find out with strace!

```
void recursiveDelete(char* dirname) {
  char filename[FILENAME_MAX];
  DIR *dp = opendir (dirname);
  struct dirent *ep;
  while(ep = readdir (dp)) {
     snprintf(filename, FILENAME_MAX,
            "%s/%s", dirname, ep->d name);
     if(is_dir(ep))
        recursiveDelete(filename);
     else
       unlink(filename);
  }
                                   my worst bug ever
  unlink(dirname);
```

Many File Systems

Many File Systems

Users often want to use many file systems.

For example:

- main disk
- backup disk
- AFS
- thumb drives

What is the most elegant way to support this?

Many File Systems: Approach 1



http://www.ofzenandcomputing.com/burn-files-cd-dvd-windows7/

Many File Systems: Approach 2

Idea: stitch all the file systems together into a super file system!

Many File Systems: Approach 2

Idea: stitch all the file systems together into a super file system!

sh> mount /dev/sda1 on / type ext4 (rw) /dev/sdb1 on /backups type ext4 (rw) AFS on /home/tyler type afs (rw) harter@galap-1:~/537_projects /home/tyler/537 type sshfs (rw)



Special Calls

fsync

Write buffering improves performance (why?). But what if we crash before the buffers are flushed?

fsync(int fd) forces buffers to flush to disk, and (usually) tells the disk to flush it's write cache too.

This makes data durable.

rename

rename(char *old, char *new):

- deletes an old link to a file
- creates a new link to a file







rename

rename(char *old, char *new):

- deletes an old link to a file
- creates a new link to a file
rename

rename(char *old, char *new):

- deletes an old link to a file
- creates a new link to a file

What if we crash?

rename

rename(char *old, char *new):

- deletes an old link to a file
- creates a new link to a file

What if we crash? FS does extra work to guarantee atomicity.

Atomic File Update

Say we want to update file.txt.

write new data to new file.txt.tmp file
fsync file.txt.tmp

3. rename file.txt.tmp over file.txt, replacing it

Concurrency

How can multiple processes avoid updating the same file at the same time?

Concurrency

How can multiple processes avoid updating the same file at the same time?

Normal locks don't work, as developers may have developed their programs independently.

Concurrency

How can multiple processes avoid updating the same file at the same time?

Normal locks don't work, as developers may have developed their programs independently.

Use flock(), for example:

- flock(fd, LOCK_EX)
- flock(fd, LOCK_UN)

Summary

Using multiple types of name provides

- convenience
- efficiency

Mount and link features provide flexibility.

Special calls (fsync, rename, flock) let developers communicate special requirements to FS.

Implementation

Implementation

1. On-disk structures

- how do we represent files, directories?
- 2. Access methods
 - what steps must reads/writes take?

Disk Structures

Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock

FS Structs: Empty Disk



Data Blocks



Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock

Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock





Inode Block

Inodes are typically 128 or 256 bytes (depends on the FS).

So 16 - 32 inodes per inode block.

inode	inode	inode	inode
16	17	18	19
inode	inode	inode	inode
20	21	22	23
inode	inode	inode	inode
24	25	26	27
inode	inode	inode	inode
28	29	30	31

Inode Block

Inodes are typically 128 or 256 bytes (depends on the FS).

So 16 - 32 inodes per inode block.

inode	inode	inode	inode
16	17	18	19
inode	inode	inode	inode
20	21	22	23
inode	inode	inode	inode
24	25	26	27
inode	inode	inode	inode
28	29	30	31

type	
uid	
rwx	
size	
blocks	
time	
ctime	
links_count	
addrs[N]	



file or directory?



user and permissions



size in bytes and blocks



access time, create time



how many paths



N data blocks



type uid rwx size blocks time ctime links_count addrs[N]

Assume 4-byte addrs. What is an upper bound on the file size? (assume 256-byte inodes)

type uid rwx size blocks time ctime links_count addrs[N]

Assume 4-byte addrs. What is an upper bound on the file size? (assume 256-byte inodes)

How to get larger files?

Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock











Assume 256 byte sectors. What is offset for inode with number 0?


Assume 256 byte sectors. What is offset for inode with number 4?



Assume 256 byte sectors. What is offset for inode with number 40?



Various Link Structures

Tree (usually unbalanced)

- with indirect blocks
- e.g., ext3

Extents

- store offset+size pairs
- e.g., ext4

Linked list

- each data block points to the next
- -e.g., FAT

Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock

Directories

File systems vary.

Common design: just store directory entries in files.

Various formats could be used

- lists
- b-trees

Simple List Example

valid	name inode			
1		134		
1		35		
1	foo	80		
1	bar	23		

Simple List Example

valid	name	inode	
1		134	
1		35	
0	foo	80	
1	bar	23	

unlink("foo")

Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock

Allocation

How do we find free data blocks or free inodes?

Allocation

How do we find free data blocks or free inodes?

Free list.

Bitmaps.

Tradeoffs?

Bitmaps



Data Bitmap



Inode Bitmap



Opportunity for Inconsistency (fsck)



Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- data block
- inode table
- indirect block
- directories
- data bitmap
- inode bitmap
- superblock

Superblock

Need to know basic FS metadata, like:

- block size
- how many inodes are there
- how much free data

Store this in a superblock

Super Block



Super Block



Structure Overview

Structures:

- superblock
- data block
- data bitmap
- inode table
- inode bitmap
- indirect block
- directories

Structure Overview

Core

Performance

Super Block













FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close

FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close

mkfs

Different version for each file system (e.g., mkfs.ext4, mkfs.xfs, mkfs.btrfs, etc)

Initialize metadata (bitmaps, inode table).

Create empty root directory.

Demo...

FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close





mount

Add the file system to the FS tree.

Minimally requires reading superblock.

Demo...

FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close

create /foo/bar

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data
data inoc	le root	foo	bar	root	foo	
--------------	----------	-------	-------	------	------	
bitmap bitma	ap inode	inode	inode	data	data	
	read			read		

data inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo
bitmap bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data
	read	read		read	read

data inc	ode root	foo	bar	root	foo
bitmap bitr	nap inode	inode	inode	data	data
re wr	ad ite	read		read	read

data inode bitmap bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data
road	read	read		read	read
write					write

data bitmap k	inode pitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data
	read write	read	read	read	read	read write
				write		

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data
	read write	read	read	road	read	read write
				write		
			write			

Operations

FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
				read			

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
read				read			

data	inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo	bar
bitmap	bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data	data
read write				read			

data	inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo	bar
bitmap	bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data	data
read write				read			write

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
read write				read write			write

Operations

FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
		read					

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
		read					
					read		

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
		read					
			wa a al		read		
			read				

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
		read					
			1		read		
			read				
						read	

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
		read					
			read		read		
			road			read	
				read			

Operations

FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close

read /foo/bar

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data

read /foo/bar

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
				read			

read /foo/bar

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
				read			
							read

Operations

FS

- mkfs
- mount

File

- create
- write
- open
- read
- close

close /foo/bar

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data

close /foo/bar

data i bitmap b	node oitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data

nothing to do on disk!

Efficiency

Efficiency

How can we avoid this excessive I/O for basic ops?

Efficiency

How can we avoid this excessive I/O for basic ops?

Cache for:

- reads
- write buffering

Structures

What data is likely to be read frequently?

- superblock
- data block
- data bitmap
- inode table
- inode bitmap
- indirect block
- directories

Unified Page Cache

Instead of a dedicated file-system cache, draw pages from a common pool for FS and processes.

API change:

- read
- shrink_cache (Linux)

LRU Example

Ops	Hits	State
read 1	miss	1
read 2	miss	1,2
read 3	miss	1,2,3
read 4	miss	1,2,3,4
shrink	_	2,3,4
shrink	_	3,4
read 1	miss	1,3,4
read 2	miss	1,2,3,4
read 3	hit	1,2,3,4
read 4	hit	1,2,3,4

Write Buffering

Why does procrastination help?

Write Buffering

Why does procrastination help?

Overwrites, deletes, scheduling.

Shared structs (e.g., bitmaps+dirs) often overwritten.

Write Buffering

Why does procrastination help?

Overwrites, deletes, scheduling.

Shared structs (e.g., bitmaps+dirs) often overwritten.

We decide: how much to buffer, how long to buffer... - tradeoffs?
Summary/Future

We've described a very simple FS.

- basic on-disk structures
- the basic ops

Future questions:

- how to allocate efficiently?
- how to handle crashes?

[537] Fast File System

Chapter 41 Tyler Harter 11/10/14

Review Basic FS

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data

[traverse]

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data
		read			read	

[traverse]

data	inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo
bitmap	bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data
		read	read		read	read

[traverse]

data	inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo
bitmap	bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data
		read	read		read	read

bar does not already exist

data inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo
bitmap bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data
	read	read		read	read

[allocate inode]

data inode	e root	foo	bar	root	foo
bitmap bitma	p inode	inode	inode	data	data
read write	read	read		read	read

[populate inode]

data	inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo
bitmap	bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data
	read write	read	read	read write	read	read

[add bar to /foo]

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data
	read write	read	read		read	read
			write	read write		
						write

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data

[append? yes]

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
				read			

[allocate block]

data	inode	root	foo	bar	root	foo	bar
bitmap	bitmap	inode	inode	inode	data	data	data
read write				read			

[point to block]

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
read				read			
Write				write			

[write to block]

data bitmap	inode bitmap	root inode	foo inode	bar inode	root data	foo data	bar data
read write				read			
				write			write

Review Locality





Locality Usefulness

What types of locality are useful for a cache?

What types of locality are useful for a disk?

Order Matters Now



Order Matters Now



Policy: Choose Inode, Data Blocks



Bad File Layout



Better File Layout



Best File Layout



Fast File System

System Building

noob approach

get idea
build it!

System Building

noob approach

get idea
build it!

pro approach

identify state of the art
measure it, identify problems
get idea
build it!

System Building

noob approach

get idea
build it!

pro approach

identify state of the art
measure it, identify problems
get idea
build it!

measure then build

State of the art: original UNIX file system.

Layout



Free lists are embedded in inodes, data blocks. Data blocks are 512 bytes.

State of the art: original UNIX file system.

State of the art: original UNIX file system.

Measure throughput for file reads/writes.

Compare to theoretical max, which is...

State of the art: original UNIX file system.

Measure throughput for file reads/writes.

Compare to theoretical max, which is... disk bandwidth

State of the art: original UNIX file system.

Measure throughput for file reads/writes.

Compare to theoretical max, which is... disk bandwidth

Old UNIX file system: only 2% of potential. Why?
What is performance before/after aging?

What is performance before/after aging?

New FS: **17.5%** of disk bandwidth Few weeks old: **3%** of disk bandwidth

What is performance before/after aging?

New FS: **17.5%** of disk bandwidth Few weeks old: **3%** of disk bandwidth

FS is probably becoming fragmented over time.

Free list makes contiguous chunks hard to find.

What is performance before/after aging?

New FS: **17.5%** of disk bandwidth Few weeks old: **3%** of disk bandwidth hacky solution: occasional defrag

FS is probably becoming fragmented over time.

Free list makes contiguous chunks hard to find.

How does <u>block size</u> affect performance? Try doubling it!

How does <u>block size</u> affect performance? Try doubling it!

Performance more than doubled.

How does <u>block size</u> affect performance? Try doubling it!

Performance **more** than **doubled**.

How does <u>block size</u> affect performance? Try doubling it!

Performance **more** than doubled.

Logically adjacent blocks are probably not physically adjacent.

How does <u>block size</u> affect performance? Try doubling it!

Performance more than doubled.

Logically adjacent blocks are probably not physically adjacent.

How does <u>block size</u> affect performance? Try doubling it!

Performance more than doubled.

Logically adjacent blocks are probably not physically adjacent.

Smaller blocks cause more indirect I/O.

Old FS Summary

Observations:

- long distance between inodes/data
- inodes in single dir not close to one another
- small blocks (512 bytes)
- blocks laid out poorly
- free list becomes scrambled, causes random alloc

Result: 2% of potential performance! (and worse over time)

Problem: old FS treats disk like RAM!

Solution: a disk-aware FS

Design Questions

How to use big blocks without wasting space.

How to place data on disk.

Technique 1: Bitmaps



Technique 1: Bitmaps



Use bitmaps instead of free list. Provides more flexibility, with more global view.

Techniques

Bitmaps









before: whole disk



before: whole disk



now: one (smallish) group



zoom out



strategy: allocate inodes and data blocks in same group.

Groups

In FFS, groups were ranges of cylinders - called cylinder group

In ext2-4, groups are ranges of blocks - called block group



Groups

In FFS, groups were ranges of cylinders - called <u>cylinder group</u>

In ext2-4, groups are ranges of blocks - called block group



Techniques

Bitmaps Locality groups

Technique 3: Super Rotation



Technique 3: Super Rotation



Is it useful to have multiple super blocks?

Technique 3: Super Rotation



Is it useful to have multiple super blocks? Yes, if some (but not all) fail.

Problem



Problem



All super-block copies are on the top platter. What if it dies?

Problem



All super-block copies are on the top platter. What if it dies?

solution: for each group, store super-block at different offset.

Techniques

Bitmaps Locality groups Rotated super

Block Size

Doubling the block size for the old FS over doubled performance.

Strategy: choose block size so we never have to read more than two indirect blocks to find a data block (2 levels of indirection max). Want 4GB files.

How large is this?
Techniques

Bitmaps Locality groups Rotated super Large blocks

Why not make blocks huge?

Most file are very small.



Why not make blocks huge? Lots of waste in remainder of blocks.

Why not make blocks huge? Lots of waste in remainder of blocks.



Why not make blocks huge? Lots of waste in remainder of blocks.



Solution: Fragments

Hybrid!

Introduce "fragment" for files that use parts of blocks.

Only tail of file uses fragments.

Techniques

Bitmaps Locality groups Rotated super Large blocks Fragments

Smart Policy



Where should new inodes and data blocks go?

Strategy

Put related pieces of data near each other.

Strategy

Put related pieces of data near each other.

Rules:
1. Put directory entries near directory inodes.
2. Put inodes near directory entries.
3. Put data blocks near inodes.

Strategy

Put related pieces of data near each other.

Rules:
1. Put directory entries near directory inodes.
2. Put inodes near directory entries.
3. Put data blocks near inodes.

Sound good?

Challenge

The file system is one big tree.

All directories and files have a common root.

In some sense, all data in the same FS is related.

Challenge

The file system is one big tree.

All directories and files have a common root.

In some sense, all data in the same FS is related.

Trying to put everything near everything else will leave us with the same mess we started with.

Revised Strategy

Put more-related pieces of data near each other.

Put less-related pieces of data far from each other.

Revised Strategy

Put more-related pieces of data near each other.

Put less-related pieces of data far from each other.

FFS developers used their best judgement.

FFS: Two-Level Allocator

Level 1: decide which group

Level 2: decide where in group











Preferences

File inodes: allocate in same group with dir

Dir inodes: allocate in <u>new</u> group with fewer inodes than the average group

First data block: allocate near inode

Other data blocks: allocate near previous block

Problem: Large Files

A single large file can use nearly all of a group.

This displaces data for many small files.

It's better to do one seek for the large file than one seek for each of many small files.







Conclusion

First disk-aware file system.

FFS inspired modern files systems, including ext2 and ext3.

FFS also introduced several new features:

- long file names
- atomic rename
- symbolic links

Advice

All hardware is unique.

Treat disk like disk!

Treat flash like flash!

Treat random-access memory like random-access memory!

Advice

All hardware is unique.

Treat disk like disk!

Treat flash like flash!

Treat random-access memory like random-access memory! (actually don't -- the name is a lie)

Redundancy

Redundancy

Definition: if *A* and *B* are two pieces of data, and knowing *A* eliminates some or all the values *B* could *B*, there is <u>redundancy</u> between *A* and *B*.

RAID examples:

- mirrored disk (complete redundancy)
- parity blocks (partial redundancy)

Definition: if *A* and *B* are two pieces of data, and knowing *A* eliminates some or all the values *B* could *B*, there is <u>redundancy</u> between *A* and *B*.

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS.

Inode: field contains pointer to data block.

Is there redundancy between these fields? Why?

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS. DATA = ???

Inode: field contains pointer to data block. DATA in {0, 1, 2, ..., UINT_MAX}

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS. DATA = N

Inode: field contains pointer to data block. DATA in {0, 1, 2, ..., UINT_MAX}

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS. DATA = N

Inode: field contains pointer to data block. DATA in {0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1}

Pointers to block N or after are invalid!
Subtle Example

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS. DATA = N

Inode: field contains pointer to data block. DATA in {0, 1, 2, ..., N - 1}

Pointers to block N or after are invalid!

Total-blocks field has redundancy with inode pointers.

Problem 3

Give 5 examples of redundancy in FFS (or files systems in general).

Problem 3

Give 5 examples of redundancy in FFS (or files systems in general).

Dir entries AND inode table. Dir entries AND inode link count. Data bitmap AND inode pointers. Data bitmap AND group descriptor. Inode file size AND inode/indirect pointers.

Redundancy Uses

Redundancy may improve:

- performance
- reliability

Redundancy hurts:

- capacity

Redundancy Uses

Redundancy may improve:

- performance (e.g., FFS group descriptor)
- reliability (e.g., RAID-5 parity)

Redundancy hurts:

- capacity

Redundancy Challenges

Redundancy implies: certain combinations of values are illegal.

Names for bad combinations:

- contradictions
- inconsistencies

Example

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS. DATA = 1024

Inode: field contains pointer to data block. DATA in $\{0, 1, 2, ..., 1023\}$

Example

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS. DATA = 1024

Inode: field contains pointer to data block. DATA = 241

Consistent.

Example

Superblock: field contains total blocks in FS. DATA = 1024

Inode: field contains pointer to data block. DATA = 2345

Inconsistent.

Consistency Challenge

We may need to do several disk writes to redundant blocks.

We don't want to be interrupted between writes.

Consistency Challenge

We may need to do several disk writes to redundant blocks.

We don't want to be interrupted between writes.

Things that interrupt us:

- power loss
- kernel panic, reboot
- user hard reset

Problem 4

Suppose we are appending to a file, and must update the following:

- inode
- data bitmap
- data block

What happens if we crash after only updating some of these?

Partial Update

- a) bitmap: lost block
- b) data: nothing bad
- c) inode: point to garbage, somebody else may use
- d) bitmap and data: lost block
- e) bitmap and inode: point to garbage
- f) data and inode: somebody else may use

Partial Update

- a) bitmap: lost block
- b) data: nothing bad
- c) inode: point to garbage, somebody else may use
- d) bitmap and data: lost block
- e) bitmap and inode: point to garbage
- f) data and inode: somebody else may use

What is in "garbage"?

FSCK

FSCK = file system checker.

Strategy: after a crash, scan whole disk for contradictions.

FSCK = file system checker.

Strategy: after a crash, scan whole disk for contradictions.

For example, is a bitmap block correct?

Read every valid inode+indirect. If an inode points to a block, the corresponding bit should be 1

Other checks:

Do superblocks match? Do number of dir entries equal inode link counts? Do different inodes ever point to same block? Do directories contain "." and ".."?

Other checks:

Do superblocks match? Do number of dir entries equal inode link counts? Do different inodes ever point to same block? Do directories contain "." and ".."?

How to solve problems?

Link Count (example 1)



Link Count (example 1)



Link Count (example 2)

inode link_count = 1

Link Count (example 2)



Link Count (example 2)



Data Bitmap



Data Bitmap



Data Bitmap











Bad Pointer



super block tot-blocks=8000

Bad Pointer

fix!

inode link_count = 1

super block tot-blocks=8000

It's not always obvious how to patch the file system back together.

We don't know the "correct" state, just a consistent one.

It's not always obvious how to patch the file system back together.

We don't know the "correct" state, just a consistent one.

Easy way to get consistency: reformat disk!

fsuck is very slow...



Checking a 600GB disk takes ~70 minutes.

ffsck: The Fast File System Checker

Ao Ma, EMC Corporation and University of Wisconsin—Madison; Chris Dragga, Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau, and Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau, University of Wisconsin—Madison
Journaling

Goals

It's ok to do some recovery work after crash, but not to read entire disk.

Don't just get to a consistent state, get to a "correct" state.

Goals

It's ok to do some recovery work after crash, but not to read entire disk.

Don't just get to a consistent state, get to a "correct" state.

Strategy: atomicity.

Atomicity

Concurrency definition:

operations in critical sections are not interrupted by operations on other critical sections.

Persistence definition:

collections of writes are not interrupted by crashes. Get all new or all old data.

Say a set of writes moves the disk from state A to B.



Say a set of writes moves the disk from state A to B.



Say a set of writes moves the disk from state A to B.



Say a set of writes moves the disk from state A to B.



fsck gives consistency. Atomicity gives us A or B.

Say a set of writes moves the disk from state A to B.



fsck gives consistency. Atomicity gives us A or B.

General Strategy

Never delete ANY old data, until, ALL new data is safely on disk.

General Strategy

Never delete ANY old data, until, ALL new data is safely on disk.

Ironically, this means we're adding redundancy to fix the problem caused by redundancy.









Want to replace X with Y.

Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



Want to replace X with Y. With journal:



With journaling, it's always a good time to crash!

Problem 5

Write an algorithm for a simple case of atomic block update.

Problem 5

Write an algorithm for a simple case of atomic block update. Bad example:

Time	Block 0: Alice	Block 1: Bob	extra	extra	extra
1	12	3	0	0	0
2	12	5	0	0	0
3	10	5	0	0	0

Problem 5

Write an algorithm for a simple case of atomic block update. Bad example:

Time	Block 0: Alice	Block 1: Bob	extra	extra	extra	
1	12	3	0	0	0	
2	12	5	0	0	0	don't crash here
3	10	5	0	0	0	

Journal New Data

Time	Block 0: Alice	Block 1: Bob	extra	extra	extra
1	12	3	0	0	0
2	12	3	10	0	0
3	12	3	10	5	0
4	12	3	10	5	1
5	10	3	10	5	1
6	10	5	10	5	1
7	10	5	10	5	0

```
void update_accounts(int cash1, int cash2) {
  write(cash1 to block 2) // Alice backup
  write(cash2 to block 3) // Bob backup
  write(1 to block 4) // backup is safe
  write(cash1 to block 0) // Alice
  write(cash2 to block 1) // Bob
  write(0 to block 4) // discard backup
}
void recovery() {
  if(read(block 4) == 1) \{
     write(read(block 2) to block 0) // restore Alice
     write(read(block 3) to block 1) // restore Bob
```

write(0 to block 4)

}

// discard backup

Journal Old Data

Time	Block 0: Alice	Block 1: Bob	extra	extra	extra
1	12	3	0	0	0
2	12	3	12	0	0
3	12	3	12	3	0
4	12	3	12	3	1
5	10	3	12	3	1
6	10	5	12	3	1
7	10	5	12	3	0

Terminology

The extra blocks we use are called a "journal".

The writes to it are a "journal transaction".

The last block where we write the valid bit is called a "journal commit block".

File systems typically write new data to the journal.


































Optimizations

- 1. Reuse small area for journal
- 2. Barriers
- 3. Checksums
- 4. Circular journal
- 5. Logical journal





transaction: write C to block 4; write T to block 6 write order: 9, 10, 11, 12, 4, 6, 12

Enforcing total ordering is inefficient. Why?



transaction: write C to block 4; write T to block 6 write order: 9, 10, 11, 12, 4, 6, 12

Use barriers at key points in time. Barrier does cache flush.



transaction: write C to block 4; write T to block 6 write order: 9,10,11 12 4,6 12

Optimizations

Reuse small area for journal
Barriers
Checksums
Circular journal
Logical journal

Checksum



write order: 9,10,11 12 4,6 12

Checksum



In last transaction block, store checksum of rest of transaction. write order: 9,10,11,12 4,6 12

Optimizations

- 1. Reuse small area for journal
- 2. Barriers
- 3. Checksums
- 4. Circular journal
- 5. Logical journal

Write Buffering

Note: after journal write, there is no rush to checkpoint.

Journaling is sequential, checkpointing is random.

Solution? Delay checkpointing for some time.

Write Buffering

Note: after journal write, there is no rush to checkpoint.

Journaling is sequential, checkpointing is random.

Solution? Delay checkpointing for some time.

Difficulty: need to reuse journal space.

Write Buffering

Note: after journal write, there is no rush to checkpoint.

Journaling is sequential, checkpointing is random.

Solution? Delay checkpointing for some time.

Difficulty: need to reuse journal space.

Solution: keep many transactions for un-checkpointed data.





















checkpoint and cleanup




Circular Buffer



Circular Buffer



checkpoint and cleanup

Optimizations

- 1. Reuse small area for journal
- 2. Barriers
- 3. Checksums
- 4. Circular journal
- 5. Logical journal

Physical Journal



Physical Journal



Changes

Logical Journal



Logical journals record changes to bytes, not changes to blocks.

Optimizations

- 1. Reuse small area for journal
- 2. Barriers
- 3. Checksums
- 4. Circular journal
- 5. Logical journal

File System Integration

How should FS use journal?

File System Integration

How should FS use journal? Option 1:



File System Integration How should FS use journal? Option 1:



Journal API

With RAID we built a fast, reliable logical disk.

Can we build an atomic disk with the same API?

Journal API

With RAID we built a fast, reliable logical disk.

Can we build an atomic disk with the same API?

Standard block calls: writeBlk() readBlk() flush()

Journal API

With RAID we built a fast, reliable logical disk.

Can we build an atomic disk with the same API?

Handle API

h = getHandle(); writeBlk(h, blknum, data); finishHandle(h);

Handle API

```
h = getHandle();
writeBlk(h, blknum, data);
finishHandle(h);
```

Blocks in the same handle must be written atomically.

File System Integration

Observation: some data (e.g., user data) is less important.

If we want to only journal FS metadata, we need tighter integration.



File System Integration

Observation: some data (e.g., user data) is less important.

If we want to only journal FS metadata, we need tighter integration.



Strategy: journal all metadata, including: superblock, bitmaps, inodes, indirects, directories

For regular data, write it back whenever it's convenient. Of course, files may contain garbage.

Strategy: journal all metadata, including: superblock, bitmaps, inodes, indirects, directories

For regular data, write it back whenever it's convenient. Of course, files may contain garbage.

What is the worst type of garbage we could get?

Strategy: journal all metadata, including: superblock, bitmaps, inodes, indirects, directories

For regular data, write it back whenever it's convenient. Of course, files may contain garbage.

What is the worst type of garbage we could get? How to avoid?











transaction: append to inode I

what if we crash now? Solutions?

Still only journal metadata.

But write data before the transaction.

May still get scrambled data on update.

But appends will always be good.

No leaks of sensitive data!













Conclusion

Most modern file systems use journals.

FSCK is still useful for weird cases

- bit flips
- FS bugs

Some file systems don't use journals, but they still (usually) must write new data before deleting old.

Log-Structured File System

LFS: Log-Structured File System

Different than FFS:

- optimizes allocation for writes instead of reads

Different than Journaling:

- use copy-on-write for atomicity

Performance Goal

Ideal: use disk purely sequentially.
Performance Goal

Ideal: use disk purely sequentially.

Hard for reads -- why?

Easy for writes -- why?

Performance Goal

Ideal: use disk purely sequentially.

- Hard for reads -- why? - user might read files X and Y not near each other
- Easy for writes -- why?

- can do all writes near each other to empty space

Observations

Memory sizes are growing (so cache more reads).

Growing gap between sequential and random I/O performance.

Existing file systems not RAID-aware (don't avoid small writes).

LFS Strategy

Just write all data sequentially to new segments.

Never overwrite, even if that means we leave behind old copies.

Buffer writes until we have enough data.













































Data Structures (attempt 1)

What can we get rid of from FFS?

Data Structures (attempt 1)

What can we get rid of from FFS? - allocation structs: data + inode bitmaps

Data Structures (attempt 1)

What can we get rid of from FFS?
<u>- allocation structs: data + inode bitmaps</u>

Inodes are no longer at fixed offset.

- use offset instead of table index for name.
- note: upon inode update, inode number changes.















NO! This would be a random write.









Inode Numbers

Problem: for every data update, we need to do updates all the way up the tree.

Why? We change inode number when we copy it.

Inode Numbers

Problem: for every data update, we need to do updates all the way up the tree.

Why? We change inode number when we copy it.

Solution: keep inode numbers constant. Don't base on offset.

Inode Numbers

Problem: for every data update, we need to do updates all the way up the tree.

Why? We change inode number when we copy it.

Solution: keep inode numbers constant. Don't base on offset.

Before we found inodes with math. How now?

Data Structures (attempt 2)

What can we get rid of from FFS? - allocation structs: data + inode bitmaps

Inodes are no longer at fixed offset.
- use imap struct to map number => inode.






problem: updating imap each time makes I/O random.

Problem

Dilemma:

- 1. imap too big to keep in memory
- 2. don't want to use random writes for imap

Attempt 3

Dilemma:

- 1. imap too big to keep in memory
- 2. don't want to use random writes for imap

Solution: write imap in segments. keep pointers to pieces of imap in memory.















Other Issues

Crashes

Garbage Collection

Crash Recovery

Naive approach: scan entire log to reconstruct pointers to imap pieces. Slow!

Crash Recovery

Naive approach: scan entire log to reconstruct pointers to imap pieces. Slow!

Better approach: occasionally checkpoint the pointers to imap pieces on disk.

Crash Recovery

Naive approach: scan entire log to reconstruct pointers to imap pieces. Slow!

Better approach: occasionally checkpoint the pointers to imap pieces on disk.

Checkpoint often: random I/O. Checkpoint rarely: recovery takes longer. Example: checkpoint every 30s











Crash!



Reboot



Reboot

get pointers from checkpoint





Checkpoint Overview

Checkpoint occasionally (e.g., every 30s).

Upon recovery:

- read checkpoint to get most pointers and tail
- get rest of pointers by reading past tail

Checkpoint Overview

Checkpoint occasionally (e.g., every 30s).

Upon recovery:

- read checkpoint to get most pointers and tail
- get rest of pointers by reading past tail

What if we crash <u>during</u> checkpoint?















Other Issues

Crashes

Garbage Collection

Versioning File Systems

Motto: garbage is a feature!
Versioning File Systems

Motto: garbage is a feature!

Keep old versions in case the user wants to revert files later.

Like Dropbox.

Need to reclaim space:

1. when no more references (any file system)

2. after a newer copy is created (COW file system)

We want to reclaim segments.

- tricky, as segments are usually partly valid

disk segments: USED USED USED USED FREE FREE

how much data is good in each?

60% 10% 95% 35%

disk segments: USED USED USED USED FREE FREE

60% 10% 95% 35%

disk segments: USED USED USED USED FREE FREE







release input segments

General operation:

pick M segments, compact into N (where N < M).

Mechanism: how do we know whether data in segments is valid?

Policy: which segments to compact?

Mechanism

Is an inode the latest version? Check imap to see if it is pointed to (fast).

Is a data block the latest version? Scan ALL inodes to see if it is pointed to (very slow).

Mechanism

Is an inode the latest version? Check imap to see if it is pointed to (fast).

Is a data block the latest version? Scan ALL inodes to see if it is pointed to (very slow).

Solution: segment summary that lists inode corresponding to each data block.













General operation:

pick M segments, compact into N (where N < M).

Mechanism: how do we know whether data in segments is valid?

Policy: which segments to compact?

General operation:

pick M segments, compact into N (where N < M).

Mechanism: how do we know whether data in segments is valid? [segment summary]

Policy: which segments to compact?

Policy

Many possible:

clean most empty first clean coldest more complex heuristics...

Conclusion

Journaling: let's us put data wherever we like. Usually in a place optimized for future reads.

LFS: puts data where it's fastest to write.

Other COW file systems: WAFL, ZFS, btrfs.